UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/702,646	11/07/2003	Tetsuro Tojo	244779US3	3064
22850 7590 03/19/2009 OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			EXAMINER	
			DINH, BACH T	
ALEAANDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1795	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/19/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/702,646	TOJO ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	BACH T. DINH	1795		
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailir earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 of 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	s action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims				
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	awn from consideration.			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	or			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptable and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct should be a should be acceptable. The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	cepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate		

Art Unit: 1795

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 01/05/2009 has been entered.

Summary

- 2. This is the response to the communication filed on 01/05/2009.
- 3. All of previous rejections are withdrawn in view of applicant's amendment to the claims.
- 4. Claims 1-9 remain pending in the application.
- 5. The amendment did not place the application in condition for allowance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

Art Unit: 1795

1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.

- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 8. Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tojo et al. (WO 01/77412) with equivalent English translation provided by Tojo et al. (US 6,818,105) in view of Saito et al. (US 6,383,300).

The recited limitations "an inert gas substitution means for" of claims 1, 4 and 6 and "a detecting means for" in claim 2 and 7 invoke 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph. According to the specification, the inert gas substitution means includes the inert gas feeding line 91, the inert gas storage tank 92, the second automatic valve 73, the first automatic valve 74, and an HF feeding interruption detecting means (see specification on page 6). According to the specification, the first level sensing means 5 and the second level sensing means 6 constitutes the HF feeding interruption detecting means (see specification on page 9).

Addressing claims 1, 4 and 6, Tojo discloses a fluorine gas generator for generating fluorine gas by electrolyzing an electrolytic bath comprising a hydrogen fluoride containing mixed molten salt (14:22-25), which generator is equipped with:

A hydrogen fluoride gas feed line (figure 3, HF supply line, 10:64), one end of which is connected to a hydrogen fluoride gas supply source (10:53, the hydrogen fluoride gas is continuously fed; therefore, it is inherent that the hydrogen fluoride gas supply line is connected to a hydrogen fluoride gas supply source) and the other end of which is connected to a hydrogen fluoride gas inlet disposed in an electrolyte in the

Art Unit: 1795

electrolytic bath (in figures 3-4, the HF supply line has one end or the inlet disposed in the electrolyte 3 in the electrolytic bath),

A first automatic valve disposed one the hydrogen fluoride gas feed line (10:60-65, solenoid valve) and capable of being closed on the occasion of interruption of hydrogen fluoride gas feeding (10:65-11:11, the solenoid valve is automatically closed; therefore, the valve is capable of being closed on any occasions including the occasion of interruption of hydrogen fluoride gas feeding), and

An inert gas substitution means for eliminating the hydrogen fluoride gas remaining in at least part of the line on the side downstream from the first automatic valve on the hydrogen fluoride feed line, which part is located downstream from the first automatic valve and upstream of the hydrogen fluoride gas inlet, and substituting an inert gas thereof on the occasion of interruption of hydrogen fluoride gas feeding (9:38-50, inert gas tank 18, inert gas feed line, valves 62 and 54 of the inert supply line and the liquid level probes 8 and 9 constitute the claimed inert gas substitution means; furthermore, when electrolysis is halted, which also means the supply of hydrogen fluoride gas is also halted, the whole system is purged by the inert gas; therefore, the hydrogen fluoride gas remaining in the line downstream from the solenoid valve and upstream from the hydrogen fluoride inlet is also eliminated),

Tojo further disclose the inert gas substitution means comprises an inert gas feed line (figures 3-4).

Tojo is silent regarding the inert gas feed line is directly connected to the hydrogen fluoride feed line.

Art Unit: 1795

Saito discloses a heat treatment apparatus; wherein, inert nitrogen gas is used to purge the apparatus by opening valves VB3 and VB3 while closing other valves (13:53-62). Furthermore, the inner nitrogen gas feed lines is connected to the N₂ gas source 36a and connected to the reactive gas feed line at a location downstream from the automatic valve VB1 (figure 1).

Tojo and Saito are analogous arts for they disclose apparatuses that use inert gas for purging. At the time of the invention, one with ordinary skill in the art would have found it obvious to modify the apparatus of Tojo by connecting the inert gas feed line directly to the reactive HF feed line like that of Saito because doing so would allow one to completely purge the apparatus including the HF feed line. Furthermore, one with ordinary skill in the art would have achieved the predictable result of purging the fluorine generating apparatus when applying the known technique of purging an apparatus by connecting the inert gas feed line to the reactive gas feed line downstream from the automatic valve of Saito to the known fluorine generating apparatus of Tojo. Therefore, the modified apparatus of Tojo with the inert gas feed line connected to the HF feed line on the side downstream from the solenoid valve would effectively purge or eliminate the hydrogen fluoride gas remaining in at least a part of the HF gas feed downstream from the automatic valve and upstream from the HF gas inlet.

Regarding the recited limitation "substituting an inert gas thereof in case of emergency in the fluorine gas generator" of claim 4, Tojo discloses when the electrolysis is halted or in case of emergency, the apparatus is purged (9:43-45). Therefore, the disclosure of Tojo reads on the limitation recited above of instant claim.

Art Unit: 1795

Regarding the recited limitation "substituting an inert gas thereof in case the first automatic valve is closed" of claim 6, Tojo discloses the level probes 8 and 9 halt electrolysis when they detect a fluctuation limit (7:12-14) and purge the apparatus with inert gas when the electrolysis is halted (9:37-51). Furthermore, Tojo discloses the liquid level probe (liquid level probe disclosed in 11:2-10) detects fluctuation in the cathode chamber and sends out a signal to close the solenoid valves when such scenario occurs (11:2-11). Therefore, Tojo discloses when a fluctuation occurs, electrolysis is halted, the solenoid valve on the HF feed line is automatically closed and the whole system is purged with inert gas, which meets the above limitation of current claim.

Addressing claims 2, 5 and 7, Tojo discloses liquid level probes 8 and 9 which constitute the claimed "a detecting means for detecting interruption of feeding of the hydrogen fluoride gas". Tojo further discloses a second automatic valve (solenoid valve 54, figures 3-4) disposed on the inert gas feed line and operated in association with the detecting means to feed the inert gas (7:18-27, the solenoid valve 54 is opened or closed in accordance with the detection results obtained from the level probes 8 and 9).

Saito discloses the inert gas feed line is provided for feeding the inert gas to the reactive gas feed line on the side downstream from the automatic valve VB1 (figure 1, the inert gas feed line is connected to the reactive gas feed line on the side downstream from the automatic valve VB1); the inert gas feed line further comprises a second automatic valve VB3 and operated in association with the automatic valve VB1 to feed the inert gas into the reactive gas feed line on the side downstream from the automatic valve VB1 (11:52-

Art Unit: 1795

59, after the completion of the film, VB1 and VB2 are closed; 12:40-49 and 13:53-62,

VB3 and VB4 are opened when all the other valves are closed in order to purge the

system).

In conjunction with the rejection of claims 1, 4 and 6, the modified apparatus of Tojo

with the connectivity of Saito would have the inert gas feed line connected to the HF feed

line on the side downstream from the solenoid valve (solenoid valve disclosed in 10:57-

65) and the automatic valve 54 of the inert gas feed line operates in association with the

level probes 8 and 9 to feed inert gas into the HF feed line on the side downstream from

the solenoid valve (7:18-27, the solenoid valve 54 is opened or closed in accordance with

the detection results obtained from the level probes 8 and 9; therefore, when the solenoid

valve 54 is opened, inert gas would be fed into the HF feed line on the side downstream

from the solenoid valve).

Addressing claims 3, 8 and 9, Tojo discloses an inert gas storage tank 18 (figure 1) for

storing the inert gas to be fed.

Response to Arguments

9. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-9 have been considered but are moot in

view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Art Unit: 1795

Conclusion

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to BACH T. DINH whose telephone number is (571)270-5118. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday EST 7:00 A.M-3:30 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nam X. Nguyen can be reached on (571)272-1342. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Kaj K Olsen/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1795

BD 03/13/2009